



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/642,519 | 08/18/2003 | Naoki Kuwata | 122.1561 | 1583 |

21171 7590 03/22/2007
STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

JOSEPH, JAISON

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2611

| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|--|------------|---------------|
| 3 MONTHS | 03/22/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

10

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/642,519 | Applicant(s) KUWATA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Jaison Joseph | Art Unit 2611 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 August 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6-8 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figures 1 – 5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 – 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1, line 1 recites "a PLL circuit" should have been "a Phase Locked Loop (PLL) circuit".

Similar scenario exists in claim 4, line 1 and claim 5, line 3.

Claim 3, line 3 recite " a VCO" should have been "a Voltage Controlled Oscillator (VCO)".

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 2, 3, and 6 –8 are inherently objected as being depended on above objected claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Regarding claim 4, AAPA discloses a timing extraction circuit which uses a PLL circuit containing a phase comparator circuit for performing a phase comparison between a data signal of bit rate B and a clock signal of B/2 at intervals of 2/B (see figure 3 components 45, 46 page 4, line 11 – page 5, line 4 of the present specification) AAPA further discloses said phase comparator circuit comprises two phase comparator circuits which respectively accepts phases differing by one cycle of said data signal to perform comparisons for all data signals (see figure 3, components 45, 46 and page 4, line 11 – page 5, line 4 of the present specification).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 2611

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Soda (US Patent 5,956,378).

Regarding claim 1, AAPA discloses a timing extraction circuit which uses a PLL circuit containing a phase comparator circuit for performing a phase comparison between a data signal of bit rate B and a clock signal of $B/2$ at intervals of $2/B$ (see figure 3), AAPA does not disclose the timing extraction circuit further comprise a detection circuit for detecting the absence of an output of phase comparison information from said phase comparator circuit by receiving a data signal of prescribed pattern; and a control circuit for controlling upon detecting said absence, the phase of said clock signal in order to maintain synchronization.

In analogous art, Soda teaches a PLL circuit comprising a detection circuit for detecting the absence of an output of phase comparison information from said phase comparator circuit by receiving a data signal of prescribed pattern; and a control circuit for controlling upon detecting said absence, the phase of said clock signal in order to maintain synchronization (see figure 2, component 23 and column 4, line 14 – 33). Therefore it would be obvious to an ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the Soda's PLL control circuit in AAPA to have a phase locking loop circuit which need not have an adjusting terminal for use in adjusting the frequency range.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 2, which inherits the limitations of claim 1, Soda further teaches control circuit controls the phase of said clock signal by inverting said clock signal (see column 6, lines 15 –39).

Regarding claim 3, which inherits the limitation of claim 1, Soda further teaches said control circuit controls the phase of said clock signal by controlling a VCO.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Blum (US Patent 5,757,218).

Regarding claim 5, AAPA discloses a timing extraction circuit which uses a PLL circuit containing a phase comparator circuit for performing a phase comparison between a data signal of bit rate B and a clock signal of $B/2$ at intervals of $2/B$ (see figure 3), AAPA does not disclose a duty cycle evaluation circuit and a control circuit controlling the signal in response to the duty cycle evaluation circuit. However in analogous art, Blum teaches a duty cycle evaluation circuit for evaluating a duty cycle between input data before and after a point which said PLL circuit is locked (see figure 1, component 14), and a control circuit for controlling, based on a result of said evaluation, a data discrimination phase before and after the point at which said PLL circuit is locked (see figure 1, component 106). Therefore it would be obvious to an ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Blum's duty cycle correction circuit in AAPA to correct the duty cycle and compensate for error introduced by intervening circuits.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 – 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison Joseph whose telephone number is (571) 272-6041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jaison Joseph
03/12/2007


CHIEH M. FAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER